1	MICHAEL P. MURPHY, COUNTY COUNSEL (SBN 83887) By: Aimee B. Armsby, Deputy (SBN 222967) By: Eugene Whitlock, Deputy (SBN 237797) By: John D. Nibbelin, Deputy (SBN 184603) Hall of Justice and Records 400 County Center, 6 th Floor Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone: (650) 363-4757		
2			
3			
4			
5	Fax: (650) 363-4034		
6	Attorneys for Defendants RAVENSWOOD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT		
7	MIVERS WOOD CITT SCHOOL DISTRICT		
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	NORTHERIVEIGHT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	EMMA C., et al.,	Case No. C-96-4179 TEH	
13	Plaintiffs,	RAVENSWOOD CITY SCHOOL	
14	VS.	DISTRICT'S AND CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION'S	
15	DELAINE EASTIN, et al.	REVISED JOINT SUBMISSION OF INTERIM 2008-2009 RSIP BUDGET;	
16	Defendants.	REVISED STIPULATION REGARDING INTERIM ALLOCATION OF RSIP AND	
17		COURT MONITOR BUDGET AND [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON	
18			
19			
20	In accordance with the directives of this Court, the Ravenswood City School District (the		
21	"District") and the California Department of Education (the "CDE") hereby jointly submit (1) a revised		
22	interim fiscal year ("FY") 2008-2009 Budget for the Ravenswood Self-Improvement Plan (the "RSIP"),		
23	(2) a revised interim stipulation regarding the allocation of these budgets as between the District and the		
24	CDE, and (3) a proposed order for the Court's consideration.		
25	As communicated in correspondence dated June 11, 2008 from the CDE to the Court, the Distric		
26	and CDE have agreed, on an interim basis and pending briefing to the Court on appropriate RSIP Budge		
27	and allocations and/or further negotiations, that the RSIP and Court Monitor Budgets agreed on for FY		
28	2007-2008, as well as the allocation of those budgets for FY 2007-2008, shall be applied for FY 2008-		
	Case No. C-96-4179 TEH		

RAVENSWOOD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT'S AND CDE'S REVISED JOINT SUBMISSION OF INTERIM 2008-2009 RSIP BUDGET; REVISED STIPULATION REGARDING INTERIM ALLOCATION OF RSIP AND COURT MONITOR BUDGET AND [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON

2009.

Specifically, the interim RSIP Budget for FY 2008-2009 shall be \$2,603,234.00 and the parties assume that the Court Monitor Budget for FY 2008-2009 shall be \$368,573.00. Further, the interim allocation of each of these budgets shall be fifty-five percent (55%) to the District and forty-five percent (45%) to the CDE during the 2008-2009 fiscal year. The District and the CDE agree that the fact that they have stipulated to an interim budget and allocations for FY 2008-2009 that are the same as they were in FY 2007-2008 is not a concession by either party that they should remain the same once the Court has considered briefing of the matter, and shall not preclude either party from arguing for different amounts for the RSIP Budget or for different allocations.

The District and the CDE also agree, on an interim basis, to pay into the Court registry their allocated shares pursuant to the following schedule:

- 30 percent of their respective allocated shares (i.e., a total of \$488,288.30 for the District and \$399,508.61 for the CDE) by August 1, 2008.
- 60 percent of their respective allocated shares (i.e., a total of \$976,576.59 for the District and \$799,017.21 for the CDE) by November 14, 2008.
- 80 percent of their respective allocated shares (i.e., a total of \$1,302,102.12 for the District and \$1,065,356.28 for the CDE) by January 15, 2009.²
- 100 percent of their respective allocated shares (i.e., a total of \$1,627,627.65 for the District and \$1,331,695.35 for the CDE) by April 15, 2009.³

The District and the CDE request that the Court issue an order to approve the interim RSIP and Court Monitor Budgets for the 2008-2009 fiscal year and to implement, on an interim basis, the allocation and schedule described herein.

The District and the CDE further agree that the District shall submit quarterly invoices, pursuant to the same schedule as set forth above, to the Court Monitor reflecting expenditures necessary to

¹ This total includes each party's prior payments made by August 1, 2008.

² This total includes each party's prior payments made by August 1, 2008 and November 14, 2008.

³ This total includes all of each party's prior payments made pursuant to this stipulation.

implement the First Amended Consent Decree and the RSIP. The District and the CDE further agree that copies of all invoices, expenditure reports or other documents provided by the District to the Court Monitor shall also be provided at the same time to the CDE. The District and the CDE further agree that they shall meet and confer, upon the request of either party received within 30 days after the submission of the quarterly invoices to the Court Monitor, regarding the invoices or other documents provided to the Court Monitor. The parties request that the Court Monitor be instructed to maintain an accounting of all such invoices and forward them to the Court for immediate payment.

The District and the CDE further agree that the District shall arrange for an independent review by the San Mateo County Office of Education, pursuant to the same schedule as set forth above, of monies expended by the District in connection with the First Amended Consent Decree and the RSIP.

The CDE and the District propose the following briefing schedule relating to the FY 2008-2009 RSIP Budget and the allocation of that budget between the CDE and the District:

- Each Party's Opening Brief Due: July 25, 2008
- Each Party's Responsive Brief Due: August 8, 2008
- Hearing: August 22, 2008 (or as determined by the Court)

Case 3:96-cv-04179-TEH Document 1265 Filed 06/20/08 Page 4 of 6

1	This stipulation is valid only until the Court resolves the issues of the FY 2008-2009 RSIP		
2	Budget and its allocation as between the CDE and the District or the parties enter into a further		
3	stipulation that is approved by the Court.		
4			
5	Dated: June 19, 2008	MICHAEL P. MURPHY, COUNTY COUNSEL	
6			
7		By: /s/	
8		By: /s/ Aimee B. Armsby, Deputy	
9		Attorneys for Defendants RAVENSWOOD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	
10		RAVENSWOOD CITT SCHOOL DISTRICT	
11		OFFICE OF THE CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL	
12		GENERAL	
13			
14		By: /s/ George Prince, Deputy	
15		Attorneys for Defendants CALIFORNIA	
16		DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC	
17		INSTRUCTION JACK O'CONNELL, in his individual capacity, STATE BOARD	
18		OFEDUCATION, and any past of present member of the STATE BOARD OF	
19		EDUCATION in their individual capacities.	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Case No. C-96-4179 TFH	Λ	

2

4 5

7

8

6

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

2728

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The Court has reviewed the above revised joint submission and revised stipulation of the District and the CDE regarding the interim content of the RSIP and Court Monitor Budgets for the 2008-2009 academic year, as well as the interim allocation of the RSIP and Court Monitor budgets between the District and the CDE.

Good cause appearing therefore, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

- a. The Interim RSIP Budget proposed by the District and the CDE for the 2007-2008 academic year, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, is hereby APPROVED.
- b. The Court Monitor's budget of \$368,573 for the 2008-2009 academic year is hereby APPROVED.
- c. Financial responsibility for the RSIP and Court Monitor budgets is hereby allocated, on an interim basis, fifty-five percent (55%) to the District and forty-five percent (45%) to the CDE.
- d. The fact that the District and the CDE have stipulated to the interim RSIP Budget and the interim allocation of the RSIP and Court Monitor Budgets shall not preclude either party from subsequently arguing for different amounts for the RSIP Budget or for different allocations.
- e. Unless and until the Court enters further orders regarding the matter, the District and the CDE shall pay into the Court registry their allocated shares of the interim RSIP and Court Monitor budgets pursuant to the following schedule:
 - 30 percent of each party's respective allocated share (i.e., a total of \$488,288.30 for the District and \$399,508.61 for the CDE) by August 1, 2008.
 - 60 percent of each party's respective allocated share (i.e., a total of \$976,576.59 for the District and \$799,017.21 for the CDE) by November 15, 2008.
 - 80 percent of each party's respective allocated share (i.e., a total of \$1,302,102.12 for the District and \$1,065,356.28 for the CDE) by January 15, 2009.
 - 100 percent of each party's respective allocated share (i.e., a total of \$1,627,627.65 for the District and \$1,331,695.35 for the CDE) by April 15, 2009.
- f. The District shall submit quarterly invoices, pursuant to the same schedule as set forth in paragraph e, above, to the Court Monitor reflecting expenditures necessary to implement the First

Amended Consent Decree and the RSIP. The District shall provide the CDE with copies of all invoices, expenditure reports or other documents provided by the District to the Court Monitor in compliance with this Order. The parties shall meet and confer, upon the request of either party made within thirty days after the submission to the Court Monitor of the documentation referred to in this paragraph f, regarding the invoices or other documents provided to the Court Monitor pursuant to this paragraph f. The Court Monitor shall maintain an accounting of all such invoices and forward the invoices to the Court for immediate payment.

- g. The District shall arrange for an independent review by the San Mateo County Office of Education, pursuant to the same schedule as set forth in paragraph e, above, of monies expended by the District in connection with the First Amended Consent Decree and the RSIP.
- h. The District and the CDE shall submit briefing regarding the 2008-2009 RSIP Budget and its allocation as between them pursuant to the following schedule:
 - Each Party's Opening Brief Due: July 25, 2008
 - Each Party's Responsive Brief Due: August 8, 2008
- i. The interim FY 2008-2009 RSIP Budget and allocation shall be valid only until the Court resolves the issues of the FY 2008-2009 RSIP Budget and its allocation as between the CDE and the District or the parties enter into another stipulation that is approved by the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

